

CLARK COUNTY COUNCIL
CLARK COUNTY, INDIANA
Regular Meeting of September 12th 2022

The regular scheduled meeting of the Clark County Council was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Councilperson Barbara Hollis.

Councilperson Hollis asked all to rise for the pledge of allegiance to the American Flag. She requested Coroner Billy Scott to introduce his guest. Mr. Scott said this was his daughter June, his soccer star, and who came in 2nd place in cross country the other day at Thomas Jefferson. Councilperson Hollis asked Mr. Scott to lead the pledge, which he did.

Roll call showed members present and absent as follows:

Present	Absent
Barbara Hollis (1st District)	
Janne Newland (2nd District)	
Brittany Ferree (3rd District)	
Steve Doherty (4th District)	
Kevin Vissing (At Large)	
David Abbott (At Large)	
John Miller (At Large)	

Also present were Council Attorney Maxwell McCrite (via telephone), County Auditor Danny Yost, and Chief Deputy Auditor Jessica Huffman.

President Hollis requested proof of the Notice of Taxpayers of the additional appropriations listed on the agenda. The County Auditor, Danny Yost stated for the record that publication of the Notice of Taxpayers ran in the News and Tribune ten (10) days prior to this meeting as required by I.C.6-1.11-18-5.

Councilperson Hollis offered August 8, 2022, Regular Council minutes. Councilperson Dougherty motioned to approve the Regular meeting minutes of August 8, 2022. Councilperson Vissing seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis said they had been presented with a list of Amendments to the Agenda (2023 Budget Public Hearing), and the Juvenile Detention transfer of appropriation requests had been withdrawn. She asked if there were any additional amendments to the agenda. There were none. Councilperson Miller made a motion to approve the agenda as amended. Councilperson Newland seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Doherty motioned to acknowledge the Receipt of Funds Report provided by the Auditor's office. Councilperson Miller seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Committee Reports: None

Public Comments: None.

Councilperson Hollis called to order the 2023 Budget Public Hearing for the following: Clark County; Jeffersonville Flood Control; Charlestown Fire; Tri-Township Fire Protection District; Monroe Township Fire Protection; Utica Township Fire District; New Washington Fire Protection District; and Clark County Solid Waste District. She asked for any public comment for each entity listed above. No comments were received. Hearing no comments, Councilperson Hollis wanted to call the 2023 budget public hearing to a close. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis said first on the agenda was a Resolution of the Clark County Council Authorizing the Issuance of Tri-Township Fire Protection District's General Obligation Bonds of 2022 (Resolution No. 2022-OR-2) (Bond Issuance). Amir Mousavi, Fire Chief of Tri - Township Fire Protection District, and Chairman of the Board, Dale Cook were in attendance. Chief Mousavi said they were here to ask for consent or approval of the proposed bond issuance. The bond issuance was to purchase a new firetruck, and to purchase land near the fire house for future development into a fire house. He believed the Council had been provided the proposed resolution and information. He then welcomed any questions, some of which he may be able to answer, and some the Bond Counsel may be able to answer better. A Councilperson said the request was for a new ladder truck, as the current truck is 75 feet, which cannot reach some of the new high-rise apartments. Chief Mousavi said that was correct. Also, for the new development on Highway 31 (new JC grocery store). He noted, high rises are also measured horizontally, as if laying on their side. Given the growth and development in the community, the current ladder truck is too short, which creates an extreme hazard, and reaching the end of life, per the National Fire Protection Association recommendation of 15 years. If an order is placed, the delivery time is two years out, thus, the existing truck will be 15-16 years old by then. Chief Mousavi said time wise the Township should be okay if it receives approval and places the order. A Councilperson asked what would happen to the old truck. The Chief said it would probably remain in the fleet as a backup truck. Obviously, these apparatuses have mechanical and maintenance issues, so for those times, the backup truck would be utilized. A Councilperson asked if the Fire District had a building to house the new truck. The Chief said their current building is a pole-barn style building, that was built many years ago. The new truck would fit in there with a very tight squeeze. Their strategic plan is to purchase some land for a future firehouse. A Councilperson asked if funding was in place, with Council approval. Councilperson Hollis responded yes, if bond issuance approval is received. Chief Mousavi said that BakerTilly provided a structured bond and how that structure is going to work. A Councilperson asked if there was a mutual aid agreement with surrounding agencies. Chief Mousavi responded there is a county-wide mutual aid agreement. Also, for safety and insurance purposes, insurance companies require a response time within so many minutes and apparatus availability within a 5-mile radius. Councilperson Abbott made a motion to approve Resolution No. 2022-OR-2 (Issuance of Tri-Township Fire Protection District's General Obligation Bonds of 2022). Councilperson Vissing seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis said that Jacque Clements was present this evening and she has provided the Council with the budget worksheet. Ms. Clements said she was with the Association of Indiana Counties and assisted the Council with their budgets. Well, Jessica mainly with the budgets. However, before she started, she identified a small error: down on the page (left side) where it says Tax Rate 2021 p 2022, tax rate shows 0.3085 in error. The correct amount is 0.0104. She was asked to say again. Ms. Clements restated the reassessment value for

the current year is 0.0104. Ms. Clements said there was a plethora of information on the worksheet: she would explain a bit of it and answer questions. She said the current maximum working levy is \$27,617,565, and the proposed wish list/budget was advertised in the amount of \$29,555,743. Therefore, \$1,988,000 over the levy, which is not out of the norm at this point. Ms. Clements began her presentation in the upper left-hand corner (Normal Maximum Levy), and proceeded forward. She stated that Clark County's assessed value had increased by 17.64% this year, and likely due to growth and assessment time. State-wide the increase was 5%-20%. She said with assessed values up, hopefully, tax rates will go down, and circuit breakers will also go down. She worked the proposed budget at the 5% growth increase from prior year. She continued with a detailed over-view of the budget worksheet. She noted one more correction (center page top) shows 2024 x 1.05, and the corrected should be 2022 x 1.05. The proposed budget will need to be cut by \$1.9 million. She advised the State of Indiana will no longer ignore income tax credits (state taxes paid to another state), which should affect local tax receipts. Ms. Clements asked if there were any questions. Councilperson Hollis said it was a lot of information to digest. Councilperson Hollis asked if Jessica could reserve the meeting room for Monday (19th) and Thursday (22nd) of next week, from 4 pm – 7 pm. Ms. Clements said she would provide an updated worksheet after cuts were made.

Councilperson Hollis welcomed Bryan Glover, Clark County Commissioner. Commissioner Glover said he, along with Jack Coffman, Commissioner were here today to present requests on behalf of the Clark County Board of Commissioners. He said he would present the requests, with questions to follow. Requests were as follows:

- 1) Additional appropriations for Fund 1173 (MVH Restricted), motor vehicle restricted, Bituminous (asphalt), in the amount of \$500,000, and Gas and Oil in the amount of \$50,000 (Agenda error, at \$5,000).
- 2) Additional appropriations for Fund 1135 (Cum Bridge) for Bridge #35, in the amount of \$190,000.
- 3) Additional appropriations for Fund 4912 (Landowner Liab. & Cont.) Contract Services, in the amount of \$300,000.

Commissioner Glover said the first two items were probably self-explanatory. The Cum Bridge request was for the Sinking Creek Bridge (Bridge #35). He recapped the history of the project. \$190,000 was the advertised appropriation. However, the actual contract amount was \$145,000. Item #3 involves mitigation credits for landfill. These are in lieu fee payments for wetland mitigation at the landfill. We (the County) brokered a deal with the landfill, that we (the County) will make the first three payments (#1-#3), and the landfill will pay the remaining four payments (#4-#7). The County and Landfill are splitting the costs, 50/50. Funding will be provided by host fees (revenues generated from the existing landfill), and not tax dollars. Councilperson Hollis said with regard to the Cum Bridge, the actual request is \$145,000, not \$190,000. Commissioner Glover said that was correct. The originally advertised appropriation was \$190,000. However, the actual contract was \$145,000. Also, under MVH the Gas and Oil request, the requested amount showed \$5,000, which was a typo. The correct appropriation request was \$50,000.

A Councilperson asked what paperwork was Commissioner Glover referring to in the amount of \$145,000 that the Council does not see. Commissioner Glover apologized as he thought the contract was in the Council's meeting packet.

Councilperson Doherty made a motion to approve additional appropriations as follows:

Fund 1173 (MVH Restricted) in the amount of \$550,000; Fund 1135 (Cum Bridge) \$145,000; and Fund 4912 (Landowner Liab. & Cont.) \$300,000. Councilperson Miller seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis asked Jacque Clements if she could wait a minute, as she has some questions regarding the next request.

Phil Parker and Diane Shahroudi, Clark County Sheriff's Office, presented a request for additional appropriations for Fund 1000 (County General), Location 32 (County Jail) Contract Services in the amount of \$1,475,891. Ms. Shahroudi, said at the budget hearing on August 15th, Sheriff Noel expressed a need for security doors in the jail, with that said, the Council said to put in an appropriation request from the County General Fund. She believes all supporting documentation has been submitted to the Council. Councilperson Hollis said yes, all documents were received. Ms. Shahroudi said Colonel Parker was present to answer any questions. Councilperson Hollis said she requested Jacque Clements to stay because she had a question regarding the budget. Councilperson Hollis said that per the budget worksheet, that Jacque presented, the budget was \$2 million over the estimated availability. Factoring in the request today of \$1.475 million, it would then be at \$3.475 million over budget. Ms. Clements said revenues are based upon history (generally the average over the past 2½ to 3½ years). Councilperson Hollis asked if the revenue figures were through June only? Ms. Clements responded yes. Councilperson Hollis asked if anything else was factored in? Ms. Clements said the actual cash balances as of June 30th; plus 90% of a 2½ - 3½ year average, is factored in. The revenues are based upon history. Councilperson Hollis asked Diane if she knew what the County had taken in for federal prisoners for July, August, and September? Diane responded over \$200,000 per month. Councilperson Hollis asked if that was the norm. Ms. Shahroudi responded yes. Ms. Clements said those numbers would have been included in the budget worksheet. Colonel Parker said that since the County has been housing federal prisoners, it has taken in approximately \$6 million into the marshal's fund. Ms. Clements said she had a different solution, if you look at the budget, the CCD (Cumulative Capital Development) fund shows \$2.6 million cash operating at the end of next year (2023). Ms. Clements said she is not sure that the County could not absorb the jail request in the CCD fund, more than we can absorb it in the general fund. The method and source of funding was discussed further. County Commissioner Glover said the Commissioners and Council could work together, to secure funding. After seeking Legal Counsel advise, the two entities could hold an executive session and work out funding options. After further discussion, late September was the targeted date. Councilperson Hollis said perhaps the request should be tabled until a later date.

Colonel Parker appreciated the Council's budgetary concerns and support. However, since we are here, before the matter is tabled, and he doesn't know if anyone has a full grasp of what this really means in terms of the operations at this facility. He is going to give it to them from two different perspectives, one being the inmates, the other being the correction officers.

From the inmates' perspective, one grim reality is that Pod 3 (in the jail) is designed to accommodate 235 inmates. Pod 1 and 2 (combined) hold 235 inmates, designed with an open concept. Say you went on vacation: went to a hotel; a person takes you to your room to stay; the room is the size of a gymnasium, which has 52 smaller rooms with no doors. You are going to sleep in this smaller room with 3 other people that you do not know. In addition, outside your designated room/area, with no doors, are 50 more people you do not know. Would it be comfortable falling asleep in this environment. Also, let's say that sometime during the day, you offended someone in that pod and you did not even know it. That person then visits you at 3:00 a.m. while you're sleeping. This has happened numerous, numerous times. People talk about an open concept jail is more welcoming. However, POD 3 inmates do not want to go to sleep at night, and sometimes have someone standing watch. They are very uncomfortable.

From the Correction Officers perspective only 1-2 officers are working the Pod. A fight breaks out between 4-5-6 people, and there are 50 inmates. The only thing that can be done is to tell the inmates to go to their cell. There is no ability to lock these people down, and you are in a fray with 3-4 people in a fist-a-cuff. You are working on the good will of 45 other people to stay out of your way and not get in your business while you are trying to handle the situation. The situation becomes both a security and safety issue for the inmates and correction officers. Colonel Parker said he did not know how the open-pod concept came into being, but it's very incredibly hard thing to manage, when you cannot put someone behind a door. Here is what happens. Video cameras are in the day-room (a large area where the inmates hang-out). However, the individual the cell areas, does not have any surveillance. So, if an inmate/s wants to take care of business, they drag their target to an area without surveillance. This happens, and it is dangerous. Colonel Parker said he was trying to give a feel for these grim realities. If they (the Council) visited or saw videos of the area and incidents, when this stuff goes on, they would be very uncomfortable. He just wanted to present the facts.

Councilperson Hollis said she does not believe there is anyone who does not agree with the Colonel, or anyone who does not support this need. The Council and Commissioners are trying to determine methods of funding the Sheriff's office request. Colonel Parker said he thought the intent of housing federal prisoners was to provide funds to improve the jail. He doesn't understand why funds are not readily available. Councilperson Hollis said housing the federal prisoners was not without expenses. Councilperson Hollis said she did not personally expect a proposed budget which needed \$2.0 million in cuts. However, now the thinking is that funding can come from other sources, beyond the general fund, and the Council and Commissioners are going to confer on the matter. Colonel Parker said that Major Grube, had something to add. Major Grube said he was involved with the initial quote in December, 2021. Every quote since has increased dramatically. The vendor attributes the increase due to the cost of steel (the actual doors). Councilperson Hollis said the December quote was just below a million. Major Grube agreed, and said at this point, the only thing he knows to do is obtain another quote (updated), and that 100% of the cost can not be covered by the County General fund. Councilperson Hollis agreed. The consensus was the price would likely increase another \$200,000 to \$300,000. Someone asked what will be the terms of the purchase contract, is money needed upfront. Diane Shahroudi responded: no money down; no retainage; and normal terms of net 30 day. Major Grube said when he last spoke with the vendor, he was told 30 days from the start date. He would clarify the billing time frame. Someone asked if this was the only company

that did this type of work. Major Grube said they had trouble finding anyone. Colonel Parker said this was very specialized work. Someone asked if the company was local. Colonel Parker responded they were out of Ohio.

Councilperson Hollis said County Attorney McCrite was in attendance via the telephone. She stated the County Commissioners cannot approve or sign a contract without money in place. Commissioner Coffman responded that was true. Councilperson Hollis said an idea was to have the Council approve additional appropriations in the amount of \$500,000 from County General, and table the balance of \$975,891. This would allow for the order to be placed. Commissioner Coffman said funds and locations have to be identified and dedicated, before the Commissioners could execute a contract. Attorney McCrite asked if the money has to be dedicated to specific locations, or just in general. Commissioner Coffman said the funding source has to be specific, such as, \$100,000 from County General, and \$100,000 from ARP, for a total of \$200,000. Attorney McCrite asked what are the possible funds the Council could dedicate 100% to. Councilperson Hollis said County General, ARP (American Rescue Plan), and Cum Cap are being considered. However, no single fund could cover 100% of the cost. A partnership between the three funds is being considered. Various options were suggested by Budget Consultant Clements and Attorney McCrite. However, none could be approved tonight, because they had not been advertised. Attorney McCrite said that was correct. The Council could follow the required advertising guidelines, and call a special session. Major Grube said he had just been in contact with the vendor to clarify some issues. The vendor said the order could be placed with a purchase order; first payment would be due when materials ship, in approximately 14-18 weeks; and the second and final payment would be due upon completion. Someone asked if the Commissioners could approve the materials only purchase. Commissioner Coffman said they would need continued discussions with the vendor. Then awarding/approving the initial contract for materials only might be possible.

Councilperson Hollis said Jacque Clements had additional information. The Consultant said that after further review she determined that revenues had been omitted in calculating fund availability. Once the numbers have been adjusted, County General will have sufficient funding to approve the Sheriff's office request for additional appropriations. Councilperson Miller made a motion to approve the additional appropriation request for Fund 1000 (County General) Location 0032 (County Jail) Contract Services in the amount of \$1,475,891. Motion seconded by Councilperson Ferree. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis said Nick Karaffa of the Clark County Courts, was next on the agenda. Mr. Karaffa said he had two items to present, those being:

Additional appropriations for Fund 8230 (OVWI Court 10K), Location 302 (All Courts), Supplies in the amount of \$474.08; and Travel in the amount of \$820.47. When this was initially requested, a grant had not yet been received. However, since that time a \$8,000 federal grant has come through, and these expenses will be covered by the Problem-Solving Court Grant.

Transfer of appropriations within Fund 1000 (County General), Location 375 (Superior Court #5), \$313.49, from Supplies to Equipment.

Councilperson Vissing said it appears that Mr. Karaffa also has a matter tabled from prior meetings. Mr. Karaffa said that was correct. It was a request for an additional appropriation in Fund 1000 (County General), Location 302 (All Courts) in the amount of \$9,825 for travel. However, he has been talking with Debbie, their financial administrator, who is investigating other funding sources to avoid placing the request. Also, the State approved more travel reimbursement than first stated. Therefore, he is requesting the matter continue to be tabled until next month.

Councilperson Vissing made a motion to approve the additional appropriations request for Fund 8230 in the amount of \$474.08 Supplies, and \$820.47 Travel; Transfer of Appropriations within Fund 1000, \$313.49 from Supplies to Equipment; and the continuance of the Tabled Additional Appropriation request for Fund 1000, Location 302, Travel in the amount of \$9,825. Motion seconded by Councilperson Newland. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis invited the County Coroner, Billy Scott, to address the Council. Coroner Scott presented a request for additional appropriations for Fund 1000 (County General), Location 007 (Coroner), Contract Services in the amount of \$80,000, and Autopsies \$32,000. Coroner Scott said he needed additional funds due to the still on-going investigation with the Lankford case. Councilperson Hollis asked if the Contract Services was for security. The Coroner said that was correct. Someone asked if the security was on-going and still there. The Coroner responded yes. The Coroner said they were close to getting all DNA samples back, still waiting for 1/2 siblings or next of kin to submit DNA samples. Also, he had talked with Jeremy Mull, County Prosecutor, about 12 days ago. The Prosecutor said he wanted to continue security to a later date, while loved ones are still in Clark County's care. Councilperson Hollis asked if there would be future additional funding requests. The Coroner said probably 95% of the families he spoke with had paid for cremations, which did not happen, and the money is gone. The Coroner said his family (Scott Funeral Home) will donate for all 31 cremations. However, they would like to recover some overhead expenses. At the present, the overhead cost is estimated to be \$300 per cremation (\$300 @ \$31, or \$9,300), which does not include salaries, etc... The family is trying to make it as budget friendly as possible. The Coroner welcomed any suggestions as he really does not know what to do. Councilperson Hollis said this was a tragic situation, and it's going to have to be taken care. Councilperson Vissing made a motion to approve the additional appropriations request for Fund 1000, Location 007, Contract Services in the amount of \$80,000, and Autopsies \$32,000. Councilperson Miller seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Clark County Probation - James Hayden was next on the agenda. Nick Karaffa said he would be speaking on behalf of Jamie Hayden. Mr. Karaffa said three new grants had been received and funds need to be appropriated. Mr. Karaffa presented requests as follows:

Additional appropriations for Fund 8235 (Vet Court 10k), Location 302 (All Courts), Supplies in the amount of \$8,000.

Additional appropriations for Fund 1127 (Veteran's Problem-Solving Project Income),

Additional appropriations for Fund 8887 (Veterans Court Grant), Location 302 (All Courts), Contract Services in the amount of \$3,410.

Also, additional appropriations for Fund 2533 (Alcohol & Drug User Fee), Location 302 (All Courts), Contract Services in the amount of \$32,000. Mr. Karaffa said this was for the "Grant Writer", and funds should come from CCADS. Councilperson Hollis said this person receives a "percentage of fee". Mr. Karaffa said that was correct. The fees are based upon the number of grant applications written and dollars received. Thus, the more grant funding received, the larger the fee payments.

Councilperson Dougherty motioned to approve the additional appropriations requests for Fund 8235, 1127, 8887, and 2533, as presented. Councilperson Newland seconded. Motion carried 6-0. It was noted that Councilperson Vissing had stepped out, and unavailable for the vote.

Gavan Hebner, with Emergency Management, presented a request for additional appropriation for Fund 8243 (20.703 HMEPG), Contract Services in the amount of \$15,000. This is a 100% reimbursable grant for the Commodity Flow Study, which starts in October, 2022. The study will show what hazmat materials flow through Clark County. Councilperson Miller motioned to approve the additional appropriation, as presented. Councilperson Doherty seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Next on the agenda was Clark County Clerk, Salary Ordinance. Nancy Shepherd of the Clerk's office was present to answer any questions related to the Salary Ordinance (County General). Councilperson Hollis said as a reminder, at the August Council meeting, County Clerk, Susan Popp, advised that one of her higher paid employees had transferred out to the Sheriff's Department, and given her experience and knowledge, her responsibilities would likely be split up. So, this ordinance does not involve new money, but a reallocation among three different current employees. The Salary Ordinance covers three Deputy Clerks, with salaries of \$24,307.26; 38,176.56; and \$36,853.44. Councilperson Newland made a motion to approve the Salary Ordinance as presented. Councilperson Abbott seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Doug Bentfield, with the Clark County Health Department, said this is a grant that renewed after the budget process last year at a higher amount, and is getting ready to renew again. The grant is related to infant deaths. Therefore, he presented requests as follows:

Additional appropriation for Fund 8206 (93.994 SUID Grant) Contract Services in the amount of \$15,000.

Transfer of appropriations within Fund 8206, \$4,139 from Group Insurance to Contract Services; and \$6,000 from Supplies to Contract Services.

Councilperson Doherty made a motion to approve the additional appropriations and transfers for Fund 8206 as presented by Doug Bentfield. Councilperson Miller seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Next on the agenda was Clark County Juvenile Detention, Fund 4915 (Juv. Det Project Income) transfers. However, the request has been withdrawn.

Mickey Weber, Clark County Public Defender, presented a request for additional appropriations for Fund 4906 (SPDSF): \$29,732 Personal Services; \$2,290 FICA/Medicare; and

PERF \$2,530. The requests are from the supplemental budget. Mr. Weber said the request is for a salary increase approved late last year for a full-time deputy. The Salary ordinance was presented and approved. However, it was after the budget process. The total request is \$34,552. The bulk, approximately \$20,000 plus, is associated with the salary increase and associated from last year, and the balance of \$9,700 +/- is what is anticipated to be spent for the balance of this year for hourly interns. The intern expenses had been under budgeted the last couple of years. He then welcomed any questions. A Councilperson asked for a restatement regarding the \$20,000 plus, which Mr. Weber provided. It was a timing issue between the budget process and late year approval of a salary increase. Councilperson Vissing made a motion to approve the additional appropriations (Fund 4906) as presented by the Public Defender. Councilperson Newland seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

Councilperson Hollis asked if there were any reports or comments. A Councilperson asked if Jessica (Chief Deputy Auditor) could send out reminders to office holders to make sure they submit their paperwork. Councilperson Hollis asked if they (office holders) were not filling it out, or Jessica was not forwarding it. Jessica said she forwarded everything she has received.

Councilperson Hollis asked if there were any additional comments. A Councilperson said regarding the budget, there was a lot for the Council to think about and do, and a meeting should be held with the County Commissioners to discuss some large issues. After further discussion a meeting was tentatively scheduled for Monday, September 26th at 5 p.m. Councilperson Abbott said he would be out of town.

Councilperson Hollis asked if there was anything else. A Councilperson said the Council was having budget sessions next Monday (19th) and Thursday (22nd), and asked Commissioner Coffman if he will have access to his phone, if there were any questions. Commissioner Coffman said he would be attending the Association of Indiana Counties conference, but could be reached by phone.

Councilperson Hollis asked if there were any comments from the public. A gentleman said his concern was not with money. However, in the Silver Creek area there is on-going discharge of firearms. Who could they contact to get this stopped? He doesn't want to infringe upon anyone's second amendment rights, but the shooting is getting out of hand near Blackiston Mill Road and Silver Creek. A lady said she did not know the scope of the Council's authority was money. Councilperson Hollis said the Council does not have any authority with this matter. Commissioner Coffman suggested she file a complaint with the Sheriff. The gentleman said he filed a complaint with the Clarksville police and nothing happened. Councilperson Hollis said it sounded like they were in an unincorporated area of the County. She agreed. Commissioner Coffman asked where she lived. She responded 2207 Blackiston Circle, near where the steps were built for the kayakers by the River Conservancy. Commissioner Coffman questioned the location. The gentleman responded Blackiston Mill Road area across from Parkwood Subdivision. She said they are not single shots. They have clips. The gentleman said semi-automatic. Discussions continued. A Councilperson said he would meet up with the gentleman after the meeting concludes and continue discussions.

Councilperson Hollis asked the County Assessor, Butch Love, if he had anything to add. Mr. Love said for the Council's information, over the last twelve months the housing market in

Clark County has gone up to 12%, and the last three months up to 13.3%. Some new construction has slowed. However, more developments are going on at the same time. Thus, it is a washout. Therefore, assessed values will remain quite high.

A Councilperson said a County Building Inspector has been hired. Commissioner Coffman said they started today. Commissioner Coffman added a new Veterans Service Officer also started today.

Councilperson Hollis asked if the Attorney or Auditor had any comments. They did not. She asked if there was any other business to discuss. There was none.

Councilperson Hollis welcomed a motion to adjourn. Councilperson Newland motioned to adjourn. Councilperson Miller seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CLARK COUNTY COUNCIL CONCERNING
ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS
FOR THOSE FUNDS WHICH DO NOT REQUIRE APPROVAL OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE
ORDINANCE NO. 20-2022**

WHEREAS, certain extraordinary conditions have developed since the adoption of the existing annual budget so that it is necessary to appropriate more money than was appropriated in the annual budget.

Section I. BE IT ORDAINED by the Clark County Council of Clark County, Indiana that for expenses of Clark County government and its institutions for the year ending December 31, 2022, the following sums of money are herein specified subject to the law governing the same, such sum herein appropriated shall be held to include all expenditures provided by law.

Section II. THAT for the fiscal year there is hereby appropriated out of the various funds herein for the following specified amounts to meet such extraordinary emergencies which are declared to exist.

	Requested	Allowed	Vote
Clark County Commissioners			
MVH Restricted			
Additional Appropriations			
1173-20080-062 Bituminous	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00	7-0
1173-20021-062 Gas and Oil	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	7-0

	Requested	Allowed	Vote
Clark County Commissioners Cum Bridge Additional Appropriation 1135-41035-064 Bridge #35	\$145,000.00	\$145,000.00	7-0
Clark County Commissioners Landowner Liab. & Cont. Additional Appropriation 4912-30051-030 Contract Services	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	7-0
Clark County Sheriff County General Additional Appropriation 1000-30051-032 Contract Services	\$1,475,891.00	\$1,475,891.00	7-0
Clark County Courts OVWI Court 10k Additional Appropriations 8230-20060-302 Supplies 8230-30013-302 Travel	\$474.08 \$820.47	\$474.08 \$820.47	7-0 7-0
Clark County Courts County General Additional Appropriation 1000-30013-302 Travel	\$9,825.00	TABLED	7-0
Clark County Coroner County General Additional Appropriations 1000-30051-007 Contract Services 1000-30059-007 Autopsies	\$80,000.00 \$32,000.00	\$80,000.00 \$32,000.00	7-0 7-0
Clark County Probation Vet Court 10k Additional Appropriation 8235-20060-302 Supplies	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	6-0

	Requested	Allowed	Vote
Veteran's Problem-Solving Project Income			
Additional Appropriation			
1127-30051-302 Contract Services	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00	6-0
Veteran's Court Grant			
Additional Appropriation			
8887-30051-302 Contract Services	\$3,410.00	\$3,410.00	6-0
County User Fee (Alcohol & Drug User Fee)			
Additional Appropriation			
2533-30051-302 Contract Services	\$32,000.00	\$32,000.00	6-0
Clark County EMA			
20.703 HMEPG			
Additional Appropriation			
8243-30051-039 Contract Services	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	7-0
Clark County Health Department			
93.994 SUID Grant			
Additional Appropriation			
8206-30051-071 Contract Services	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	7-0
Clark County Public Defender			
SPDSF			
Additional Appropriations			
4906-11107-040 Personal Services	\$29,732.00	\$29,732.00	7-0
4906-11171-040 FICA/Medicare	\$2,290.00	\$2,290.00	7-0
4906-11176-040 PERF	\$2,530.00	\$2,530.00	7-0

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CLARK COUNTY COUNCIL CONCERNING
TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS
ORDINANCE NO. 21-2022**

	Requested	Allowed	Vote
Clark County Courts (Superior 5)			
County General			
Transfer of Appropriation			
From: 1000-20060-375 Supplies	(\$313.49)		7-0
To: 1000-40014-375 Equipment		\$313.49	7-0
Clark County Health Department			
93.994 SUID Grant			
Transfer of Appropriation			
From: 8206-11173-071 Group Insurance	(\$4,139.00)		7-0
To: 8206-30051-071 Contract Services		\$4,139.00	7-0
Clark County Health Department			
93.994 SUID Grant			
Transfer of Appropriation			
From: 8206-20060-071 Supplies	(\$6,000.00)		7-0
To: 8206-30051-071 Contract Services		\$6,000.00	7-0
Clark County Juvenile Detention			
Juv. Det. Project Income			
Transfer of Appropriations			
From: 4915-40012-041 Motor Vehicles	(\$30,000.00)	WITHDRAWN	
To: 4915-11107-041 Personal Services	\$30,000.00	WITHDRAWN	
Clark County Juvenile Detention			
Juv. Det. Project Income			
Transfer of Appropriations			
From: 4915-40014-041 Equipment	(\$5,000.00)	WITHDRAWN	
To: 4915-11171-041 FICA/Medicare	\$5,000.00)	WITHDRAWN	

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CLARK COUNTY COUNCIL CONCERNING SALARY
AND WAGES TO BE PAID TO OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES FOR CALENDAR
YEAR 2022 AMENDMENT NO. 9**

	Requested	Allowed	Vote
Clark County Clerk			
County General			
Salary Ordinance			
Deputy Clerk	\$24,307.16	\$24,307.16	7-0
Deputy Clerk	\$38,176.56	\$38,176.56	7-0
Deputy Clerk	\$36,853.44	\$36,853.44	7-0

Members of the Clark County Council

AYES

NAYS

Sabrina Solles

Myrtle

Steve Wobert

RJA

J. M. Webb

D. G. G.

Attest:

Danny Yost
Danny Yost - Clark County Auditor

Approved this 17th day of October, 2022